



Canon Envirothon Judges' Scoring Sheet for Team Presentations

Team Number

Room Number

Judge's Number or initials

0	Not at all
2	Poor or poorly
4	Fair or slightly well
6	Good or fairly well
8	Excellent or very well
10	Outstanding

Part I Preparation & Presentation of Plan (60 point maximum)

Circle Score

A. How well did the presentation address or identify:

- The interrelationship between the environment, natural resources, and the different natural resource management strategies?
- The major natural resources areas (soils/land use, aquatic ecology, forestry, wildlife?)
- All the different players/interest groups affected by the problem?
- The current issue?
- The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem?

0	2	4	6	8	10
0	2	4	6	8	10
0	2	4	6	8	10
0	2	4	6	8	10
0	2	4	6	8	10
0	2	4	6	8	10

B. Were references and resources cited in the team presentation?

Part I Subtotal

Part II Application of Data (80 points maximum)

Circle Score

- A. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/community).
- B. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem.
- C. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the cost & benefit of the proposed plan, (cost of implementing the plan, economic impact on local resources, cost of doing nothing, future costs, funding source(s), etc.)
- D. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issues, environmental justice).
- E. The team presented one viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue.
- F. Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural resources.
- G. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues?
- H. The main points were clearly stated and supported.

0	2	4	6	8	10
0	2	4	6	8	10
0	2	4	6	8	10
0	2	4	6	8	10
0	2	4	6	8	10
0	2	4	6	8	10
0	2	4	6	8	10
0	2	4	6	8	10

Part II Subtotal

Part III Quality of Presentation (40 points maximum)

Circle Score

- A. Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion.
- B. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.)
- C. Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids should be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.)
- D. Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating.

0	2	4	6	8	10
0	2	4	6	8	10
0	2	4	6	8	10
0	2	4	6	8	10

Part III Subtotal

Part IV Required Elements (20 points maximum)

Circle Score

- A. Add up to ten points for all team members participating in presentation. (Each team member gets 2 point for equal oral participation in presentation.)
- B. Add up to five points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan.
- C. Up to five points will be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time and the team made effective use of their time. **ROOM MONITOR TO ENTER TIME ON THE LINE BELOW ON RIGHT:**

0	2	4	6	8	10
0	1	2	3	4	5

5 pts-17-20 mins/4pts-15-16:59 mins/3pts-13-14:59mins/2pts-11-12:59mins/1pt-9-10:59mins/0pts

Part IV Subtotal

Final Score (out of 200 points)